

APPLICATION NO.

09/825,889

7590

30743

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

04/05/2001 Masahide Wakisaka N36-131337M/TH 3750

10/03/2003 EXAMINER

PHAM, HAI CHI

WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190

ART UNIT

2861

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 		TA			ph	
	•	Application No	•	Applicant(s)	`	
Office Action 0		09/825,889		WAKISAKA ET AL	•	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Hai C Pham		2861		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2003					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-t	inal.			
3)[closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
· _	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· _	Claim(s) <u>8,10,12-14 and 16-31</u> is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1,9,11 and 15</u> is/are rejected.					
-	☐ Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on 10 July 2003 is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
u)	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC		

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The following claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1:

• Lines 3-4, "light-emitting array device chips" should read --light-emitting device array chips-- to preserve the consistency of the claimed terminologies.

<u>Claim 9</u>:

Line 7, "are each secured" should be deleted.

Claim 18:

• Line 4, "each array" should read --each of the light-emitting array chips having a light-emitting device array--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 11, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11:

Application/Control Number: 09/825,889

Art Unit: 2861

Page 3

The following claimed element "a member having rigidity" at line 3 appears to be ambiguous in that it is unclear whether the claimed member is the same as the substrate since the flexible printed circuit, which is embedded between the light-emitting device array chips and the substrate (as recited in the base claim 10), should have the reverse surface disposed in close contact with the substrate.

Claim 15:

Similarly it is unclear whether the claimed member (line 3) is the same as the
substrate since the flexible printed circuit, which is embedded between the lightemitting device array chips and the substrate (as recited in the base claim 10),
should have the reverse surface disposed in close contact with the substrate.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. The indicated allowability of claims 1 and 9 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Kondo et al. (JP 2000-103116). Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application

Application/Control Number: 09/825,889

Art Unit: 2861

Page 4

by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kondo et al. (JP 2000-103116).

Kondo et al. discloses an LED printer head comprising a substrate (2), and a plurality of light-emitting device array chips (6) arranged on the substrate in a straight line so as to oppose a rod lens array (10), each of the light-emitting device array chips having light-emitting device array, wherein the rod lens array, a substrate support member (4) for supporting the substrate, and the driver circuit board (1) are each secured directly to a support member (3) (the light-emitting device array and the circuit board being bonded onto the support member 3 while the rod lens is secured to the same support member 3 by fixing screw 11).

The method claim 9 is deemed to be clearly anticipated by functions of the above structures.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 8, 10, 12-14, 16-31 allowed.

Art Unit: 2861

- 8. Claims 2-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 11 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 08/01/02, with respect to the rejection of claims 1 and 9, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground of rejection is made in view of Kondo et al. (JP 2000-103116).

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1281. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R. Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7724, (703) 308-7382, (703) 305-3431, (703) 305-3432.

Art Unit: 2861

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

HAI PHAM

September 20, 2003